

**Minutes of a Meeting of Bickleigh Parish Council held on Tuesday 6 September 2016 at 7:00pm at The Woolwell Centre**

**PRESENT: -** Councillors P W Hitchins (Chairman), F Turner, M Blake, Mrs C Lane, Mrs D Owen, Mrs L Crowe,

**ALSO PRESENT: -** Mrs M Small, Clerk to the Parish Council  
Mrs A Tamsett-White, Admin Assistant  
17 members of the public  
Mr Andrew Lopes (Landowner)  
Planning Officer for Mr Lopes

**APOLOGIES: -** Councillor Mrs Lusk, C Ellis  
Councillor Mrs N Hopwood (South Hams District Council)

---

**8801 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Councillor Hitchins declared a personal interest, with no financial gain, as the applicant is known to him.

Councillor Mrs Lane declared a personal interest, with no financial gain, as the applicant is known to her.

**8802 PLANNING**

***Councillor Hitchins took no part in discussions on planning as he will be considering applications as a District Councillor.***

2169/16/OPA Development site at Sx513 609, Pinewood Drive, Woolwell, Outline application (appearance and scale ) for erection of No. 49 dwellings with parking, associated access roads, pedestrian access and provision of public open space  
***It was AGREED by 4 for, 1 against and 1 abstention (Councillor Hitchins) TO RECOMMEND REFUSAL on grounds of Access to the site***

- (a) The current residents of Woolwell have to endure poor access to the A386 on a daily basis and the addition of a further 49 dwellings will only exacerbate this problem.
- (b) Woolwell is a cul de sac with one way in and one way out making it an unsatisfactory means of access.
- (c) The ingress and egress access to the site itself is very unsatisfactory and will impact on the neighbourhood.
- (d) The planned access road for construction traffic in the Roborough and Bickleigh area, via New Road, is unsatisfactory. The road is not wide enough to provide two way flow for traffic coming from Bickleigh and does not have passing places
- (e) There is a likelihood of damage by vehicles trying to access the Tramway.
- (f) The application for development does not meet the requirements of "South Hams Adopted Development Policy DP3 35" regarding residential amenity. The policy states that the protection of residential amenity is an issue that has been raised through consultation with local communities, and therefore should be taken into consideration for all proposals.